

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,936	12/22/1998	Scott Miller	BAYER 6 PI	8682
7590 01/27/2004 ·			EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			KUMAR, SHAILENDRA	
Arlington Courthouse Plaza I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1400 2200 Clarendon Boulevard Arlington, VA 22201			1621	23
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/27/200	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/776,936	MILLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHAILENDRA - KUMAR	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e. cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 L	December 2003.					
•—	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fire 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second content of the foreign language pr	Its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 rest sentence of the specification of the covisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	tion No red in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. D and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/17/03 has been entered.

Claims 1-26 are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/17/03 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 8-9, 13-14, 20-22, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Becker et al(US 3,284,433).

Art Unit: 1621

Becker et al, column 1, lines 20-65 anticipate instant compounds and composition, when in the instant claims M is -O-, L is phenyl.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1621

8. Claims 1-11 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minami et al(US 5,710,094).

Minami et al teach structurally similar compounds as claimed herein. See column 4, lines 10-25, wherein, Z can be O, S, and CH2.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to obtain compounds within the generic structure of the reference, because they are structurally so similar to those claimed herein, with the reasonable expectation of achieving a successful thermal recording medium, absent evidence to the contrary.

9. Claims 1-5, 8-11, 13-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Widdowson et al(WO 9,625,157).

Widdowson et al is teaching structurally similar compounds, composition and method of treatment as claimed herein. See for example page 20, lines 15-20, wherein, X1 can be O or S, R2 can be aryl or hetaryl.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to obtain compounds within the generic structure of the reference, because they are structurally so similar to those claimed herein, with the reasonable expectation of achieving a successful composition for treating cancer, absent evidence to the contrary.

- 10. Claim 12 appears to be free of art and is allowable.
- 11.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA KUMAR whose telephone number

Art Unit: 1621

is 703-308-4519. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30, Alt

Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

SHAILENDRA - KUMAR Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

S.Kumar 1/23/04